

Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

Bill #	SB0093			aws related to DUI convicting permit	ions and restricted-
Primary Sponsor:	Connell, Pat		Status: As Intro	duced	
☐ Significant	Local Gov Impact	✓ Needs to be inclu	nded in HB 2	Technical Concerns	
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Significant Long-	Term Impacts	Dedicated Revenue For	m Attached
		FISCAL	SUMMARY		
		FY 2016 Difference	FY 2017 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2018	FY 2019
Expenditures:		Difference	Difference	Difference	Difference
General Fund		\$37,320	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue		\$24,880	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:					
General Fund		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
State Special Revenue		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:		(\$37,320)	\$0	\$0	\$0

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> SB 93 creates a restricted driving permit related to DUI convictions and will require one-time expenditures within the Department of Justice (Motor Vehicle Division) in order to accommodate the driver's license card production.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. A one-time expenditure in FY 2016 will be necessary to create a driver license and identification card containing the special designation. The vendor that is currently used to produce Montana driver licenses and identifications cards estimates the cost to place the designation on the face of driver licenses and identification cards will not exceed \$60,000.
- 2. Information Technology Services Division (ITSD) will require a one-time expenditure in FY 2016 to hire a contractor to add/reconfigure code in the statewide database. Required modifications would include adding a designation to the customer record, modifying the driver license, commercial driver license, identification card screens to capture veteran status, and modifying the interface to the card production system.
- 3. It is estimated that this reconfiguration will take 20 hours at an hourly rate of \$110 totaling \$2,200.
- 4. Expenses would be split 60% general fund revenue and 40% state special revenue fund.

5. There are no historical values for the number of people that would qualify under Section 1, and consequently the impact on the revenue side is not able to be estimated at this time.

	FY 2016 Difference	FY 2017 Difference	FY 2018 Difference	FY 2019 Difference				
Fiscal Impact:				<u>Diagramo</u>				
Expenditures:								
Personal Services	\$2,200	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Operating Expenses	\$60,000	\$0	\$0	\$0				
TOTAL Expenditures	\$62,200	\$0	\$0	\$0				
.=		*						
Funding of Expenditures:								
General Fund (01)	\$37,320	\$0	\$0	\$0				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$24,880	\$0	\$0	\$0				
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$62,200	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Revenues:								
General Fund (01)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown				
State Special Revenue (02)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown				
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):								
General Fund (01)	(\$37,320)	\$0	\$0	\$0				
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$24,880)	\$0	\$0	\$0				
660 S. S.				252				

Technical Notes:

Sponsor's Initials

Date

| Sponsor's Initials | Date | Budget Director's Initials | Date | D

^{1.} The contractor may need additional time for design and programming as proposed in meeting the July 1, 2015 implementation date.